Biggers & Ohanian, LLP

Patent Lawyers
F.O. 50x 1469
Austin, Tenas 75767-1469
(512) 472-9881

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 2 7 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE:	June 27, 2005				
To:	Mail Stop: Amendment Examiner Ubiles	Group Art Unit: 2642			
COMPANY:	United States Patent and Trademark Office				
FACSIMILE NO:	703-972-9306				
FROM:	John Biggers, Reg. No. 44,537				
Re:	Response/Amendment to OA dated March 25, 2005; Title: "Transferring a Call to a Backup According to Call Context"	Atty. Docket No.: AUS920010836US1 (135)			
SERIAL NO.:	10/022,164				
NUMBER OF PAGES:	(Including Cover) 38				
COMMENTS:	Please see attached.				
I hordby certify that this correspondence below. Catherine Berglund Del 2 2005	Certificate of Transmission by Fectimile under 37.0 in being transmitted via facsimile to Commissioner for				

Confidentiality Notice: Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this finesimile message is attorney-client privileged and confidential information intended only for the intended seciplent named above, it you are not the intended recipient them any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this distribution in error, please immediately notify the sender by talephone at our expense.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (10 bs used for all correspondence effectively) Total Number of Pages in The Submission 13	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Beaming Name	10/022,164	adameri, Office: L metion unless 8 syne Brown	PTO/SB/P1 (09-04) PTO/SB/P1 (09-04) J.B. DEPARTMENDS. Own one - Octob J.B. DEPARTMENDS.		
ENCLOSURES (Cheek all that spoly)						
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Atteched Amendment/Repty After Final Aft	Drawing(a) Licensing-related Pepers Petition Petition Petition to Convert to e Petition and Petition and Petition and Application Power of Attorney, Revocatic Charge of Correspondence. Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(a) Landecape Table on C	Address	Appea of Appea of Appea (Appea (Appea Appea Appe	over Letter		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP Signeture John R. Biggers						
Date June 27, 2008	June 27, 2008 Reg. No. 44,537					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facilities transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with a find the support before, and the support before. P.O. Sox 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the dispersion before.						
Typed or printed name Catherine Berglui	nd 0		Date	June 27, 2005		

The supplemental of the su

If you need pealstence in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-0198 and select option 2

PAGE 1/37 * RCVD AT 6/27/2005 1:16:39 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * 8VR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/4 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:5124729887 * DURATION (mm-ss):10-22

AUS920010836US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

In re Application of:

Michael Wayne Brown, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2642

JUN 2 7 2005

Serial No.: 10/022,164

§ § Examiner:

Ubiles, Marie C.

Filed: December 17, 2001

888888

Title: Transferring a Call to a Backup According to Call Context

Atty Docket No.: AUS920010836US1

Mail Stop: Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO at 703-872-9306 or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this

2005

atherine Berglund

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED MARCH 25, 2005

Dear Sir:

This is a Response to the Office Action dated March 25, 2005 (hereafter "the Office Action"). Claims 1-71 are in the case. Examiner rejected claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Applicants have amended claims 7, 32, and 57 accordingly. Applicants acknowledge with thanks the telephone conference with Examiner on April 8, 2005. In accordance with that telephone conference, Applicants present the following amendment and remarks demonstrating that the case is in condition for allowance.